(c) what was the rate of recovery
n in each case and upto what date ssment was made; and
P

(d) when was each case referred to collector of Revenue and when was it withdrawn?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (d) The required details to the extent available would be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Price approval to the products of Small Scale Units

1362. SHRI DHARANIDHAR BA-SUMATARI; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3734 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 29th August, 1988, and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that those small scale sector units which are marketing their medicines through organised sector units are not exempt from price control;

(b) whether it is a fact that the number of major selling medicines are being sold without price approval at very high prices under this arrangement;

(c) what are the reasons for not collecting these details and fix their prices;

(d) what are the details of cases falling under this situation where his Ministry fixed the prices under DPCO, 1987;

(e) whether it is a fact that the companies are not seeking price approval under this situation; and

'(f) what are the reasons for not taking action against them under the Essential Commodities Act?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (f) Required information will be collected and laid on the Table of th$_e$ House.

Part payments of overcharged amounts from Drug Companies

1363. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 461 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th September, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that hia Ministry is accepting part payments against the amount due worked out aa overcharging;

(b) the names of the companies from which part payments were accepted;

(c) when and what was the total amount worked out in each case;

(d) what is the policy in respect of instalments and whether any guide lines have been issued in this regard;

(e) by when the total amount id each case is likely to be recovered; and

(f) what were the basis o$_n$ which only three cases were referred to the Collector of Revenue for recovery and not all the cases where amounts have been worked out?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The details of amounti assessed by the special team in respect of 7 companies and the amount received are Siven below:'

(Rs . in lakhs)

Name of the Company	Amount Assessed	Amount Received
M/s. Cyanamid I Ltd.	389.06	50.00
M/s. Hoechst I Ltd.	458.10	300.00
M/s. Geoffrey Manners Ltd.		25.00
M/s. John Wyeth Ltd.	28.37	
	133.46	
M/s. Franco Indian Pharma	11.02	0.43
M/s. Ethnor Ltd.	8.15	8.00
afc! *Is.* Pfizer Lid.	48.21	10.00

(d) No guidelines have been issued in this regard.

(e) The amount due from the companies would be recovered a$ per due process of law.

(f) The three companies referred to in part (f) did not pay any amount at the time of issue of notices for recovery as arrears of land revenue.

Prices of antd TB formulations

1364. SHRI HARI SINGH* Will-the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 462 given in the Rajya Sabha on 5th September, 1988 and state:

, (a) what are the prices fixed for each of anti-TB bulk drug under DPCO, 1987 alongwith the date of fixation;

(b) whether it is a fact that all these drugs are being sold in open market at much cheaper prices than the Government fixed prices;

(c) whether it is a fact that prices of all the formulations have not been fixed hased on the prices of bulk drugs;

(d) whether it is also a fact that prices of a number of anti-TB formulations have not been revised as per MAPE allowed in DPCO, 1987; and

(e) if so, why the Ministry is depriving the poor TB patients from the relief which the Government decided to give to them?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) The details to the extent available are given in the enclosed statement *(See* below).

(b) The 'act of Rifampicin bulk drug being available at less than pre vious notified price of Rs. 3000/kg. was brought to the notice of the Gov ernment.

(c) to (e) Price of the bulk drug has been reduced under DPCO, 1967 in the case of Rifampicin only. Prices of all formulation packs based on Rifam picin have already been reduced on that basis.

Statement

The prices fixed under DPCO, 1987 *in respect* of *anti-TB bulk drugs are as follows:*

	Rs./Kg.	Date of revision
Sodium Pas		
M/s. IDPL	130.00	16-2-88
M/s. Biochemicals and Synthetic Products Ltd.	145.00	Do.
Price for formulations	138.00	16-2-88
Thiacetazone	216.00	5-4-88
Ethambutol		
M/s. Lupin Ltd.	699.00	17-8-88
M/s. Cadila Labs	984.00	Do.
M/s. Themis Ltd.	861.00	Do.